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C++20 MODULES
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The name of this module can be referred to only in:
- module declaration
- import declaration

- module declaration
- import declaration

- not a namespace
- separate name 'domain'
# Module TU Types & Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Defines interface</th>
<th>contributes to interface</th>
<th>implicitly imports interface</th>
<th>part of module purview</th>
<th>part of global module</th>
<th>exports MACROS</th>
<th>creates BMI</th>
<th>contributes to BMI</th>
<th>fully isolated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interface unit</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface partition</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation partition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private module fragment</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Header unit</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✓ unconditionally  ❌ if a GMF exists in the TU / if TU's BMI is imported into the primary module interface
This zoo of module TU types allow for various module structures:

- simple module: primary module interface unit + 1 ... n module implementation units

```cpp
module; // GMF
#include <vector>
export module SimpleModule;

// non-exported declarations
struct detail {
    int answer = 42;
};

export namespace SimpleModule {
    void f(const detail &);
    std::vector<detail> g();
}
```

```
module SimpleModule;

namespace SimpleModule {
    void f(const detail & D) {
        // do something with D
    }
}
```

```
module;
#include <vector>
module SimpleModule;
namespace SimpleModule {
    std::vector<detail> g() {
        return {{ 42 }, { 43 }};
    }
}
```

formerly the interface header

formerly implementation sources for f() and g()
**MODULE COMPOSITION**

- large module: primary module interface unit + 1 ... n module partitions

```cpp
1  export module LargeModule;
2
3  export import : iface.f;
4  export import : iface.g;

1  module LargeModule : detail;
2
3  // non-exported declarations
4  struct detail {
5    int answer = 42;
6  };
```

```cpp
1  export
2  module LargeModule : iface.f;
3  import : detail;
4
5  namespace LargeModule {
6    export
7    void f(const detail & D);
8  }
9
10 }
```

```cpp
1  module LargeModule : impl.f;
2  import : iface.f;
3
4  namespace LargeModule {
5    void f(const detail & D) {
6      // do something with D
7    }
8
9  }
10 }
```

```cpp
1  module;
2
3  include <vector>
4
5  export
6  module LargeModule : iface.g;
7  import : detail;
8
9  namespace LargeModule {
10  export
11  std::vector<detail> g();
12
13 }
```

```cpp
1  module;
2
3  include <vector>
4
5  module LargeModule : impl.g;
6  import : iface.g;
7
8  namespace LargeModule {
9  std::vector<detail> g() {
10    return {{ 42 }, { 43 }};
11  }
12
13 }
```
MODULE COMPOSITION

- large module: hierarchy of primary module interface unit + 1 ... n related modules

```cpp
1 export module HierModule;
2
3 export import HierModule.f;
4 export import HierModule.g;

1 export
2 module HierModule.f;
3 import HierModule.detail;
4
5 namespace HierModule {
6
7 export
8 void f(const detail & D);
9
10 }

1 export module HierModule.detail;
2
3 struct detail {
4 int answer = 42;
5 }

1 module;
2 #include <vector>
3
4 export
5 module HierModule.g;
6 import HierModule.detail;
7
8 namespace HierModule {
9
10 export
11 std::vector<detail> g();
12
13 }

1 module HierModule.f;
2 import HierModule.detail;
3
4 namespace HierModule {
5
6 void f(const detail & D) {
7 // do something with D
8 }
9
10 }

1 module;
2 #include <vector>
3
4 include HierModule.g;
5 import HierModule.detail;
6
7 namespace HierModule {
8
9 std::vector<detail> g() {
10 return {{ 42 }, { 43 }};
11 }
12
13 }
```
MODULE COMPOSITION

- small module: only primary module interface unit

```cpp
module;

#include <vector>

export module SmallModule;

struct detail {
     int answer = 42;
};

export namespace SmallModule {
    void f(const detail & D) {
        // do something with D;
    }

    std::vector<detail> g() {
        return {{ 42 }, { 43 }};
    }
}
```
MODULE COMPOSITION

• single file module: only primary module interface unit with private partition

```cpp
module;
#include <vector>

export module SingleFileModule;

struct detail {  // not exported but reachable
    int answer = 42;
};

export namespace SingleFileModule {
    void f(const detail & D);
    std::vector<detail> g();
}

module : private;  // neither exported nor reachable!

namespace SingleFileModule {
    void f(const detail & D) {
        // do something with D;
    }

    std::vector<detail> g() {
        return {{ 42 }, { 43 }};
    }
}
```
VISIBILITY

hide and seek
lookup of entities at global scope

relative to their point of declaration

starting simple

global scope

1 // translation unit 1
2
3 int i; // point of declaration (POD), introduces entity 'i'
4
5 int j = i; // POD, introduces entity 'j'
6 // point of look-up (POL), names visible entity 'i'
7
8 int k = l; // POD, introduces entity 'k'
9 // POL, names invisible entity 'l'
10 // entity 'l' is not yet declared
11 // (relative invisibility)
12
13 int l; // point of declaration (POD), introduces entity 'l'
14
15 int m = n; // POD, introduces entity 'm'
16 // POL, names invisible entity 'n'
17 // entity 'n' is declared in a different TU
18 // (total invisibility)

1 // translation unit 2
2
3 int n; // POD, introduces entity 'n'
### LESS OBVIOUS

#### class scope

**Lookup of entities at class scope from outside the class**

```cpp
1 template <typename T>
2 int foo(T t) {
3     return t.value_; // POL ?, names not yet visible entity 'value'
4 } // at class scope of dependent, visible entity 't'
5
6 struct S {
7     int value_ = 1; // POD, introduces entity 'value'
8 }; // at class scope of 'S'
9
10 int x = S{}.value_; // POL !, names visible entity 'value' at
11    // class scope of visible entity 'S'
12
13 x = foo(S{}); // POL !, names visible entity 'value' at
14    // class scope of visible entity 'S'
```

**Lookup of entities at class scope from within the class**

```cpp
1 struct S {
2     int foo() {
3         return value; // POL ?, names not yet declared entity 'value'
4     } // at class scope of visible entity 'S'
5
6     int value = 1; // POD, introduces entity 'value'
7     // at class scope of 'S'
8
9 }; // POL !, names visible entity 'value'
10     // at class scope of visible entity 'S'
```
Entities may become hidden (i.e. invisible to lookup) by

- names introduced in scopes nearer to the point of lookup
- hidden friends

but become visible again by selecting the appropriate lookup algorithm
Even though name 'S' is visible at function block scope and it is the function's return type, it is totally invisible from outside the function.

This is the best you can achieve in terms of name hiding in a TU. Alas, it denies foo forward-declarability from another TU despite having external linkage.
SELECTIVE VISIBILITY

- Without modules, **total invisibility** of entities declared within a TU is **impossible**
- Moving declarations from headers into modules makes them totally invisible from the outside
- Exporting names from a module and importing them **controls** the extent to which names become **visible** in the translation unit importing the module's interface.
REACHABILITY

of declarations
AN EXAMPLE

```cpp
// AN EXAMPLE

export module mod;    // may become "necessarily reachable" if the interface of 'mod' is imported
import stuff;         // not exported, implementation detail, not part of module interface
                      // creates "interface dependency" to 'stuff' which is "necessarily reachable"
struct S {           // not exported, not meant to be used elsewhere outside
  S(const char * msg) : sth_(msg) {}
  auto what() const { return sth_.message(); }
  something sth_;    // there must be 'something' exported from module 'stuff'
};

export // exports name 'foo'
S foo(const char * msg) {
  return { msg };
}

#include <type_traits> // creates "interface dependency" to 'mod' and 'stuff'
import mod;          // makes 'mod' "necessarily reachable"

int main(int, char * argv[]) {
  const auto result = foo(argv[0]);   // so far, so good
  const auto huh = result.what();     // why is entity 'what' nameable? 😐
  using mystery = decltype(huh);      // it was never exported from 'mod'
  // -> it's a reachable semantic property of 'S'!
  static_assert(std::is_class_v<mystery>);  // compiles
  static_assert(sizeof(mystery::value_type) == sizeof(char)); // compiles
  return huh.empty();                 // compiles
}
```
1 // header file "some.h"
2
3 extern "C++" {                    // default
4     using func = int(int)        // mandatory
5         noexcept(false);         // default
6 }
7
8 extern                         // optional or mandatory
9     return x + D{2};
10 }
11
12 extern "C" {                    // optional
13     namespace N {
14     func bar;           // mandatory
15 }
16
17 [[nodiscard]]              // optional
18 extern
19     inline
20     int N::bar(int        // optional or mandatory
21         x = D{});         // mandatory
22     {                    // optional or mandatory
23     return x + D{2};
24     }
25 }
26 }
LINKAGE

about relationships between TUs
NAME ISOLATION

name 'foo' is attached to module 'mod1', i.e. '::foo@mod1',
exported name '::A::bar' is also attached to the module

namespace name '::A' is attached to the global module, as it is oblivious of module boundaries

name 'foo' is attached to module 'mod2', i.e. '::foo@mod2',
exported name '::A::baz' is also attached to the module

---

name '::A::bar' is also attached to the module
same namespace ::A

no clash
msvc 16.11 name mangling:

?foo@mod3@@YAHXZ::<!mod3> (🤔)
?bar@A@@YAHXZ::<!mod3>

clang13 & gcc(modules) name mangling:

_ZW4mod3E3fooV
_ZN1A3barEV

The module name will be encoded into the linker symbol if an entity has module linkage, and may be encoded if it is exported and therefore has external linkage.
**OWNERSHIP**

```cpp
1 export module mod3;
2 namespace A {
3    export int bar() { // external linkage, attached to module 'mod3'
4        return foo();
5    }
6 } // namespace A
```

**Strong** ownership model, the linker symbols of exported names **contain** the **module name** they are attached to

- e.g. msvc
  
  ```
  ?bar@A@@YAHXZ : <!mod3>
  ```

**Benefit:**

Identical names can be exported from multiple modules and used in separate TUs without causing linker errors.

**Weak** ownership model, the linker symbols of exported names are **oblivious** of module attachment

- e.g. clang & gcc
  
  ```
  _ZN1A3barEv
  ```

**Benefit:**

Exported names can be moved freely between modules or from headers into modules.
BUT PLATFORMS...

used in implementations of OEM1 and OEM2, not exposed

perfectly tested™, distributed as static libraries & header

Compiles on platform A 😊
Links on platform A 😊
Profit! 😱

Compiles on platform B 😊
Linker error on platform B 😱
but why? 😳 (weak ownership)

perfectly tested™
compatible

1 export module awesome.v1;
2
3 // other stuff, not exported,
4 // must go here because reasons
5
6 export namespace libawesome {
7 // implemented elsewhere
8  int deep_thought(...);
9 } // namespace libawesome

1 // OEM 1, traditional header
2 // implementation calls 'deep_thought'
3
4 namespace OEM1 {
5  int doit();
6 } // namespace OEM1

1 // OEM 2, traditional header
2 // impl. calls 'much_deeper_thought()'
3
4 namespace OEM2 {
5  int makeit();
6 } // namespace OEM2

1 // Poor customer’s application
2 #include "oem1/interface.h"
3 #include "oem2/interface.h"
4
5 int main()
6 {
7  return OEM1::doit() +
8     OEM2::makeit();
9 }
msvc 16.11 name mangling:

```
?foo@A@mod4@@YAHXZ : :<!mod4> (🤔)
_bar
?baz@@YAHXZ
```

gcc(modules) name mangling:

```
_ZW4mod4E3foo

bar
_ZW4mod4E3baz
```

Giving explicit language linkage specifications reattaches the names to the global module and mangles them accordingly into linker symbols.
TRANSITIONING TO MODULES

The road forward
TRANSITIONING TO MODULES

Options available and advice on how to proceed into the modules world:

- If the interface of a library is (mostly) separate from the implementation
  - consider a named module by turning the interface headers into a module interface unit with optionally some interface partitions (see slide 9.1)
  - consider refactoring the interface to make this happen
  - think about macros in the interface and how to get rid of them

- If macros are indispensable
  - consider a named module like above plus a header file which imports the module and augments it with the macros

- Otherwise, consider using the existing headers as header units (discouraged)

- If a library must still be usable as a non-modular, traditional library consider a dual-mode library which can - by default - be #included as before, or alternatively be provided through a module interface unit.
DUAL-MODE LIBRARY

CASE STUDY: THE {FMT} LIBRARY

For the most part, the code is located in 12 headers defining the API

- core.h
- format.h
- compile.h
- printf.h
- ...

Plus 2 source files that can be precompiled into a static or shared library

- format.cc (+ format-inl.h)
- os.cc
THE \{FMT\} LIBRARY

Question: how can this traditional library become a full-fledged module of the same name, i.e. become a \textit{dual-mode} library?

Requirements:

\begin{itemize}
  \item a \textit{lot of macros} are used in the implementation to support as many platforms, compilers and language standards as possible. This must still work.
  \item there are even two macros as API features in the interface.
  \item the \textit{unrestricted usability} as a traditional library as before must be maintained.
  \item all implementation details must be \textit{hidden} when the "Named Module" option is selected in the configuration.
\end{itemize}
THE \{\texttt{FMT}\} LIBRARY

Answer: this set of requirements is unattainable!

Neither a header module nor a named module has all necessary properties:

- header modules \textit{can't hide} the implementation details
- named modules \textit{can't export} macros

\textbf{C++20 to the rescue:} \textit{screw macros} and support only the modern alternatives (i.e. UDLs) provided by the latest version of \{fmt\}!
THE \{FMT\} LIBRARY

Question: which implementation strategy?  (refer to slides 9.x)

There is a lot of coupling between most headers because of

- the vast amount of *macros* used internally
- the liberal use of *implementation details* from other headers

And this applies to the compilable sources just as well.

This is not bad per se if the library is seen as a whole and therefore it is not
untypical in traditional libraries. If a clean, layered module structure is the
primary goal, untangling that 'mess' becomes necessary.
THE {FMT} LIBRARY

Answer: restructuring a dual-mode library is probably not worth the effort as long as the details are clearly separable from the API!

Strategy:

- Wrap the existing headers and source files into a single-file module
- Mark the exported API with some opt-in syntax
- Make everything in the source files strictly invisible and unreachable

In other words:

- Apply preprocessor gymnastics to separate the API from details
- Move the contents of the source files into the private module fragment
THE `{FMT}` MODULE INTERFACE UNIT

```cpp
module; // start of the 'global module fragment' (GMF)

// put *all* non-library headers (STL, platform headers, etc.) here
// to prevent further inclusion into the module purview!
#include <algorithm>
#include <sys/stat.h>
...
// end of external code attached to the 'global module'
export module fmt; // start of the 'module purview'

#define macros to differentiate between interface and details

// put *all* library headers here to become
// * the exported interface (API)
// * the non-exported, but reachable implementation details
#include "format.h"
#include "chrono.h"
...
// end of declarations affecting other TUs
module : private; // start of the 'private module fragment' (PMF)

// put *all* library sources here to become part of the compiled object file
// all required macros are available, yay!
#include "format.cc"
#include "os.cc"
```
This single module interface TU compiles into two artefacts:

- the compiled interface (a.k.a. BMI)
- the compiled implementation (a single object file)

This is basically a unity build of the whole library that provides the full API.

The object file may then optionally be wrapped into a static or shared library.
THE {FMT} LIBRARY

Benefits of a dual-mode library:

- usable as both a traditional library and a named module from identical sources
- has the same properties as a named library, i.e.
  - **total isolation** from other sources changing the compile environment
  - (hopefully) cleaner interface **free of implementation details** being visible
- enables **gradual transitioning** into the modules world depending on the maturity of compilers
- doesn't require changes to **existing tests**
- doesn't require re-architecting the **inner dependencies**
On the journey to making \{fmt\} a full-fledged named module, I've encountered a couple of stumbling blocks that needed to be addressed. The properties of module interfaces require special care when implementing them. Stuff that never had to be taken into consideration with headers becomes really important now!

Most of them are due to the separation of visibility of names when

- compiling the interface TU (unrestricted visibility)
- compiling TUs that import the module (restricted visibility)

This applies to both named modules and header units!
THE POTENTIAL PITFALLS

Instantiations of templates in user code perform name lookup of dependent entities from outside of the module. Non-exported names are invisible now and may cause compile failures.

```cpp
export module M;

namespace detail {
  template <typename T>
  void baz(T) {}

  template <typename T>
  void bar(T t) {
    baz(t);                   // ok while compiling the module interface
    // fails to find 'baz' when 'bar' is implicitly instantiated
  }
}

// namespace detail

export template <typename T>
void foo(T t) {
  detail::bar(t);         // ok, fully qualified name lookup is done at module compilation time
}
```
THE POTENTIAL PITFALLS

Two potential solutions:

```cpp
export module M;
namespace detail {
    template <typename T> void baz(T) {}
    template <typename T>
    void bar(T t) {
        detail::baz(t); // do fully-qualified name lookup if you *really* mean
        // to call 'detail::baz' only (i.e. disable ADL)
    }
} // namespace detail
... 

export module M;
namespace detail {
    void baz(int) {} 
    template <typename T>
    void bar(T t) {
        using detail::baz; // "symbolic link" 'detail::baz' (looked up at module compilation time)
        baz(t); // into the function body (thereby available at template instantiation time)
        // if you want to make the call to 'baz' a customization point (i.e. enable ADL)
    }
} // namespace detail
... 
```
THE POTENTIAL PITFALLS

beware of entities with internal linkage at namespace-scope when importing headers as header-units.
This is quite common when defining constants.

```cpp
// header file 'some.h'
static const int the_answer = 42; // internal linkage -> not exported from header unit
namespace {
  constexpr int the_beast = 666; // internal linkage -> not exported from header unit
}

// import rather than #include!
import "some.h"
int main() {
  return the_answer; // name 'the_answer' is unknown because it wasn't eligible to be exported from 'some.h'
}
```
THE POTENTIAL PITFALLS

Solution:

- make them entities with external linkage
- or wrap them into other entities

```cpp
// header file 'some.h'

// define variable with external linkage
inline const int the_answer = 42;

// enum definition has external linkage
enum int_constants : int {
    the_beast = 666;
};

// struct definition has external linkage
struct constants {
    static constexpr int no_answer = 0;
    static constexpr unsigned pi = 4;
};

// import rather than #include!

int main() {
    return the_answer;
}
```
Within the **purview** of a module, the 'inline' specifier gets its original meaning back! Member bodies with function **definitions** at class scope are **no longer implicitly 'inline'**. You may give 'inline' hints if you mean it.

```cpp
1 export module M;
2
3 struct S {
4   int foo() { return bar(); } // no longer implicitly 'inline',
5       // the function call might be
6       // invalid in module context!
7
8   inline int bar() { return 42; } // safe to inline
9  };
```
THE POTENTIAL PITFALLS

Beware of entities that are local to the TU. Do not expose them e.g. by naming them in non-TU-local inline functions!

Learn more at [basic.link]#14 in the standard

```c
1  export module M;
2
3  static void foo();            // TU-local
4
5  static inline void bar() { foo(); }   // ok, TU-local
6
7  inline void baz() { bar(); }      // error, 'baz()' has module linkage
8     // must not "expose" TU-local 'bar()'!
```
FROM HEADER TO MODULE

A reality check
USAGE SCENARIOS

1. Use {fmt} in traditional way by **including** the required {fmt} headers
2. As before, but with a **modularized** standard library and **include translation** for all standard library headers included by {fmt}
3. Use existing {fmt} headers as **header units** and import them
4. Use {fmt} as **named module**

Let’s examine them in detail.
# USAGE SCENARIOS

## TEST SCENARIO

```c
1 // #include <...>, import <...>, import fmt; go here
2 // The fictitious code requires at least the API from fmt/format.h and fmt/xchar.h
3
4 int main {
5    /* empty main to zoom in on making the API available to TU
6       fictitious call:
7       */
8    fmt::print(L"The answer is ", 42);
9    */
10 }
```

**Baseline, no #include or import:**

**compile time**  31 ms

All configurations taken on an AMD Ryzen 9 5900X, compiled with msvc 16.11.3, release mode
USAGE SCENARIOS

#include THE HEADERS

```cpp
#include <fmt/format.h>
#include <fmt/xchar.h>

int main {
  /* empty main to zoom in on making the API available to TU
     fictitious call:
     */
  fmt::print(L"The answer is {}", 42);
}
```

Two configurations

**header-only**: compile time 944 ms (baseline + 913 ms),
6896 non-blank \{fmt\} code lines, 59'430 lines after preprocessing

**static library**: compile time 562 ms (baseline + 531 ms),
4685 non-blank \{fmt\} code lines, 42'735 lines after preprocessing
USAGE SCENARIOS

MODULARIZED STANDARD LIBRARY + #INCLUDE TRANSLATION

1 #include <fmt/format.h>
2 #include <fmt/xchar.h>
3
4 int main {
5    /* empty main to zoom in on making the API available to TU
6       fictitious call:
7    */
8    fmt::print(L"The answer is {}", 42);
9  }

Two configurations

header-only: compile time  511 ms  (baseline + 480 ms)
static library: compile time 304 ms  (baseline + 273 ms)

Total std lib BMI size 41 MB (461 MB if std lib user-compiled from original headers)
import <fmt/format.h>;
import <fmt/xchar.h>;

int main {
/* empty main to zoom in on making the API available to TU
fictitious call:
*/
fmt::print(L"The answer is {}", 42);
*/
}

Two configurations

header-only: compile time 64 ms (baseline + 33 ms),
BMI size 22 MB

static library: compile time 64 ms (baseline + 33 ms),
BMI size 16 MB
# USAGE SCENARIOS

## MAKE THE COMPARISON FAIR!

```c
# include <fmt/args.h>                    // provide the *full* API
# include 8 more {fmt} headers here      just as the named module does!
# include <fmt/xchar.h>

int main {
}
```

- `#include (header-only)`: 1599 ms (baseline + 1568 ms), 90'431 lines preprocessed
- `#include (static library)`: 1422 ms (baseline + 1391 ms), 88'576 lines preprocessed
- `Mod. STL (header-only)`: 658 ms (baseline + 627 ms), 10'249 code lines
- `Mod. STL (static library)`: 430 ms (baseline + 399 ms), 8'038 code lines
- `import (header-only)`: 160 ms (baseline + 129 ms), BMI size 117 MB
- `import (static library)`: 155 ms (baseline + 124 ms), BMI size 91 MB
import fmt;

int main {
/* empty main to zoom in on making the API available to TU fictitious call: */
fmt::print(L"The answer is {}", 42);
/* */
}

Sorry, only one configuration with everything that {fmt} can provide!
Module interface unit: 10'672 non-blank code lines from {fmt}, 128'431 lines after preprocessing

compile time about 31 ms
There is no measurable difference to baseline!
## Usage Scenarios

### The Final Comparison Result

```c
#include <fmt/*.h>                    // provide the *full* API
import <fmt/*.h>                      // provide the *full* API
import fmt;                           // provide the *full* API

int main {
}
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Include</th>
<th>Time (ms)</th>
<th>Baseline Time (ms)</th>
<th>Preprocessed Lines/Code Lines</th>
<th>Memory Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Header-only</td>
<td>1599 ms</td>
<td>1568 ms</td>
<td>90'431 lines</td>
<td>117 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static library</td>
<td>1422 ms</td>
<td>1391 ms</td>
<td>88'576 lines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified STL (header-only)</td>
<td>658 ms</td>
<td>627 ms</td>
<td>10'249 code lines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified STL (static library)</td>
<td>430 ms</td>
<td>399 ms</td>
<td>8'038 code lines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Import</th>
<th>Time (ms)</th>
<th>Baseline Time (ms)</th>
<th>Memory Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Header-only</td>
<td>160 ms</td>
<td>129 ms</td>
<td>117 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static library</td>
<td>155 ms</td>
<td>124 ms</td>
<td>91 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Named module</td>
<td>31 ms</td>
<td>&lt;1 ms</td>
<td>8 MB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is the way!
IMPLEMENTATION STATUS

bumpy roads ahead ...
## LANGUAGE / LIBRARY FEATURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>gcc (trunk)</th>
<th>clang</th>
<th>msvc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Syntax specification        | C++20       | <= 8.0: Modules TS  
                             |              | >= 9.0: TS and C++20  
                             |              | <= 19.22: Modules TS  
                             |              | >= 19.23: C++20         |
| Named modules                | ✅           | ✅              | ✅             |
| Module partitions            | ✅           | ✴️              | ✅             |
| Header modules               | ✴️ (undocumented) | ✴️ (undocumented) | ✅             |
| Private mod. fragment        | ✴️           | ✅              | ✅             |
| Name attachment              | ✨ weak model | ✨ weak model    | ✨ strong model |
| #include → import            | ✴️           | ✴️              | ✅             |
| __cpp_modules                | 🔴 201810L   | ✴️              | ✨ 201907L     |
| Modularized std library      | ✴️           | ✴️              | ✴️             |
Build systems with support for C++ modules are rare

- **build2** (by Boris Kolpackov, build2.org)
  - supports clang, gcc, and msvc
- **Evoke** (by Peter Bindels, GitHub)
  - clang only
- **MSBuild** (by Microsoft, since msvc16.8, Visual Studio)
  - msvc only
- **make**
  - bring your own build rules, f.e. like Bloomberg's P2473
- more?
RESOURCES

Papers

- Modules in C++, 2004, Daveed Vandevoorde
- Modules, 2012, Doug Gregor
- A Module System for C++, 2014, Gabriel Dos Reis, Mark Hall, Gor Nishanov
- C++ Modules TS, 2018, Gabriel Dos Reis
- Another take on Modules, 2018, Richard Smith
- Merging Modules, 2019, Richard Smith
- C++23 Draft

Contact

- dani@ngrt.de
- @DanielaKEngert on Twitter

Images: Bayeux Tapestry, 11th century, world heritage

source: WikiMedia Commons, public domain
Ceterum censeo ABI esse frangendam